

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir :

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR \$\$1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

[X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 CFR \$1.97, as it is filed:

(Check one of the boxes A-D)

- [] A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application.
- $\ensuremath{\left[A\right]}$ B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.

[] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "i" below).

(Check one of the boxes "i" and "ii" below:)

- [] i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either
 - [] (a) first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS; or
 - [] (b) not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in \$1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

(use one and delete other of following)

- [] ii. A check (check no. _____) for the fee set forth in \$1.17(p), presently believed to be \$180, is enclosed. If the enclosed payment is incorrect, please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.
- [] ii. Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached authorizing payment of the fee set forth in \$1.17(p), presently believed to be \$180. If the enclosed payment is incorrect,

please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

- [] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee: Applicant(s) petitions under 37 CFR \$1.97(d) for consideration of this IDS. (use one and delete other of following and this note) A check (check no. _____) for/ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached authorizing payment of the fee set forth in \$1.17(i), presently believed to be \$130 is enclosed. If the enclosed payment is incorrect, please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either
 - [] (a) first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS; or
 - [] (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in \$1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.
- [X] 2. In accordance with 37 CFR $\S1.98$, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an

attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed	is
attached, except as explained below.	
[] A. Document(s) is (are) deem	iec
substantially cumulative to document(s), an	ıd,
in accordance with \$1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latt	er
documents is enclosed.	
[] B. Certain documents were previously cited by	or
submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s	
which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:	
(insert serial numbers and filing dates of prior application.	s)
Applicant(s) identifies these documents by attaching here	
copies of the forms PTO-892 and PTO-1449/SB-08A from the fil	
of the prior application(s) or a fresh PTO-1449/SB-08A listi	
these documents, and request that they be considered and ma	
of record in accordance with \$1.98(d). Per 37 CFR \$1.98(d	.) ,
copies of these documents need not be filed in th	is
application.	
[] 3. Document(s) is (are) not in t	he
English language. In accordance with §1.98(c), Applicant(
states:	
[] An English translation of each document	
(or of the pertinent portions thereof), or	_
copy of each corresponding English-langua	_
patent or application, or English-langua	ge
abstract (or claim) is enclosed.	
[] A concise explanation of the relevance	of
document(s) is found in the attached	ed
search report	rt
(see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the	he
final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).	
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of	of
document(s) is set forth as follows:	_

(insert concise explanation of relevance)

- [] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) _____ can be found on page(s) _____ of the specification.
- [] A concise explanation of document(s) ______
 can be found on the attached sheet.
- [X] 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
- [] 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

(insert other information)

6. In accordance with 37 CFR §\$1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in \$1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant(s) reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

Allen C. Yun Reg. No. 37,971

ACY:rd

624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20001-5303

Telephone: (202)628-5197 Facsimile: (202)737-3528

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Complete if Known

Application Number 09/831,629

Filing Date August 17, 2001

First Named Inventor Rina AHARONI

Group Art Unit 1646

Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number AHARONI=5B

Complete if Known

August 17, 2001

August 17, 2001

OCT 0 9 2 102

TECH CENTER 1600/29

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 1 of 2

OCT 0 8 2002

8	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	U.S. Patent Number	Document Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
	AA	4,339,431		Gaffar	07-13-1982		
	AB	5,204,099		Barbier et al	04-20-1993		
•	AC	5,858,964		Aharoni et al	01-12-1999		
		 		11.70		7.01	
						PA	
		_	.				
						•	

				FOREIG	N PATENT DOCUMENTS			
Foreign Patent Number			Foreign Patent Nu	mber		Date of		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Office ³	Number	Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Publication of Cited Document MM-DD- YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶
	AD	wo	92/02543	A1	Cytel Corporation et al	02-20-1992		
	AE	wo	94/26774	A1	Cytel Corporation	11-24-1994		
	AF	wo	95/26980	A2	Immulogic Pharmaceutical Corp	10-12-1995		
j	AG	wo	95/31997	A1	USG DOArmy	11-30-1995		
	АН	wo	96/32119	A1	Yeda Research & Development Co. Ltd. et al	10-17-1996		

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

^{*} EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449A/PTO 09/831,629 **Application Number INFORMATION DISCLOSURE** Filing Date August 17, 2001 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Rina AHARONI First Named Inventor Group Art Unit 1646 (use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Sheet Attorney Docket Number AHARONI=5B

		OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner nitials*	Cite No. ¹						
	Al	AHARONI et al, "Studies on the mechanism and specificity of the effect of the synthetic random copolymer GLAT on graft-versus-host disease", <u>Immunol Lett</u> 58(2):79-87 (1997)					
	AJ	FRIDKIS-HARELI et al, "Direct binding of myelin basic protein and synthetic copolymer 1 to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules on living antigen-presenting cells-specificity and promiscuity". Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91(11):4872-4876 (1994)					
·	AK	FRIDKIS-HARELI et al, "Binding of random copolymers of three amino acids to class II MHC molecules", Int Immunol 11(5):635-641 (1999)					
	AL	LI et al, "Glatiramer acetate blocks the activation of THP-1 cells by interferon-gamma", <u>Eur J Pharmacol</u> 342(2-3):303-310 (1998)					
	AM	SCHLEGEL et al, "A synthetic random basic copolymer with promiscuous binding to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules inhibits T-cell proliferative responses to major and minor histocompatibility antigens in vitro and confers the capacity to prevent murine graft-versus-host disease in vivo", Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93(10):5061-5066 (1996)					
	AN	SELA et al, "Suppressive activity of COP-1 in EAE and its relevance to multiple sclerosis", <u>Bull Inst Pasteur</u> 88:303-314 (1990)					
	AO	TEITELBAUM et al, "Suppression of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis by a synthetic polypeptide", <u>Eur J Immunol</u> 1(4):242-248 (1971)	***				
	AP	TEITELBAUM et al, "Cross-reactions and specificities of monoclonal antibodies against myelin basic protein and against the synthetic copolymer 1", Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88(21):9528-19532 (1991)					
	AQ	TEITELBAUM et al, "Synthetic copolymer 1 inhibits human T-cell lines specific for myelin basic protein", <u>Proc Natl</u> Acad Sci USA 89(1):137-141 (1992)					
	AR	TEITELBAUM et al, "Copolymer 1 from the laboratory to FDA", Isr J Med Sci 33(4):280-284 (1997)					

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	
	Considered	

^{*} EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.